

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,154	04/14/2001	Vladimir N. Georgiev	11983.0080	3877	
8791	7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			PHAM, TUAN		
	LES, CA 90025	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2643	7	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>.</u>							
Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/835,1	54	GEORGIEV, VLADIMIR N.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		TUAN A F		2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the period for reply specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply vireply received by the Office later than three months affed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication. of days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2004.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2	is action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠							
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or bytion to the drawing(s) Ithe correction is required.	oe held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/835,154

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17-21, and 23-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raman et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,400,394, hereinafter, "Raman") in view of Ashley et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 5,535,194, hereinafter, "Ashley").

Regarding claims 1, 7, 13, and 19, Raman teaches a digital signal processing system comprising (see figure 3):

a near end and a far end connected by a pair of signal transmission paths (see figure 3, RX 202, TX 204),

a signal processor at the near end (right hand side of figure 3) through which signal commands are transmitted from the near end to the far end (left hand side of figure 3) (see figure 3, col.7, ln.19-33),

a signal transducing device (telephone) at the far end to receive the signal commands and to transmit to the near end signals indicating the state of the signal transducing device (see col.7, In.19-32), and

a signal canceller operatively associated with the signal processor to subtract echo signals from the received signals (see col.7, In.19-68).

Application/Control Number: 09/835,154

Art Unit: 2643

It should be noticed that Raman fails to teach the technique for employing

Auxiliary-Vector filtering. However, Ashley teaches such features (see col.4, In.1-8) for
a purpose of filtering the noise signals in communication system.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of technique for employing Auxiliary-Vector filtering, as taught by Ashley, into view of Raman in order to reduce noise in communication system.

Regarding claims 2, 8, 14, and 20, Ashley further teaches the system wherein the signal canceller contains an array of filter coefficients and wherein the Auxiliary Vector filtering and multiplies the signals by the array of filter coefficients and subtracts the result from the transmitted signal commands (see col.6, In.22-65).

Regarding claims 3, 9, 15, and 21, Raman further teaches the system wherein the signal canceller is adaptive in being capable of changing the filter coefficients while receiving data from the transmitted signal commands and from the received signals (see col.6, In.1-23).

Regarding claims 5, 11, 17, and 23, Raman further teaches the system wherein voice signals are processed (see col.5, ln.44-53).

Regarding claims 6, 12, 18, and 24, Raman further teaches the system wherein the signal processor is the digital signal processor of a voice mail system, and wherein the signal commands include voice mail prompts and the undesired signals include echo (see col.5, In.28-53, appendix A).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/835,154

Art Unit: 2643

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4, 10, 16, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In order to expedite the prosecution of this application, the applicants are also requested to consider the following references. Although Arnon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,029,167), Gokcen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,125,024), Benesty et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,377,682), and Ashley et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,295,136) are not applied into this Office Action; they are also called to Applicants attention. They may be used in future Office Action(s). These references are also concerned for supporting the system and method for canceling echoes in voice messaging system and echo canceller for use in communication system.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tuan A. Pham** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708 and

Page 5

IF PAPER HAS BEEN MISSED FROM THIS OFFICIAL ACTION PACKAGE, PLEASE CALL Customer Service at (703) 306-0377 FOR THE SUBSTITUTIONS OR COPIES.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to: (703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist, tel. No. 703-305-4700).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2643

June 26, 2004

Examiner

Tuan Pham

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600